Serial No. 10/098,630 Amendment Dated: July 19, 2004 Reply to Office Action

Attorney Docket No. 225/50993

REMARKS

A Request for Continued Examination has been submitted concurrently

herewith, requesting entry of the amendment filed April 7, 2004. In addition, a

further amendment is submitted herewith in response to the comments made by

the Examiner in the Advisory Action mailed June 4, 2004, as discussed

hereinafter. Applicant therefore requests that further examination of this

application proceed on the basis of the claims as amended and set forth in full in

the amendment submitted herewith.

As noted in the Remarks which accompanied the April 7, 2004

amendment, an interview was conducted on March 31, 2004 between the

undersigned counsel and the Examiner, Mr. Wimer. At that interview, a

proposed amendment of independent Claims 3, 5, 27 and 33 was discussed, and

at the conclusion of the discussion it was agreed that the latter independent

claims, amended as proposed, distinguish over the prior art of record. By the

amendment submitted April 7, 2004, Applicant adopted all of the changes

proposed during the interview, with the single exception that the word "at"

preceding the word "structural" in the second paragraph of the body of Claim 3

was changed to "in", as also discussed during the interview.

In the Advisory Action dated June 4, 2004, the Examiner has indicated

that the revisions made by the April 7, 2004 amendment are substantive in

nature, and accordingly, entry of that amendment was refused. In addition, the

Page 9 of 11

Serial No. 10/098,630

Amendment Dated: July 19, 2004

Reply to Office Action

Attorney Docket No. 225/50993

Examiner also noted that certain language contained in the claims as amended

(in particular, the word "gaps" and the phrase "body components fabricated of

sheet metal") did not appear in the specification, that this language should be

incorporated into the specification in order to provide a proper antecedent basis

for the language of the claims.

The submission of the RCE, filed contemporaneously herewith, provides

the basis for entry of the April 7 amendment. In addition, the revisions set forth

herein address the issues raised by the Examiner in the Advisory Action. In

particular, the word "gaps" has been inserted into paragraph [0009] in order to

provide a proper antecedent basis for that word as it appears in the claims. In

addition, the phrase "body components fabricated of sheet metal" has been

changed to "metallic body components". The latter phraseology is supported by

the specification, which states that the vehicle outer skin is "metallic" and it is

made up of individual components. (See, for example, paragraphs [0012], [0026]

and [0027]).

The amendments made herein do not depart substantively from the

revisions discussed and agreed to with the Examiner at the interview conducted

on March 31, 2004, except that in Claim 3, the body components are no longer

specified to be "metallic", since metallic body components are not necessary for

the embodiment defined in Claim 3. Otherwise, the substance of independent

Claims 3, 5, 27 and 33 does not depart significantly from the versions which were

Page 10 of 11

Serial No. 10/098,630

Amendment Dated: July 19, 2004

Reply to Office Action Attorney Docket No. 225/50993

discussed at the interview. Accordingly, since the latter claims are all of the

independent claims currently of record in this application, Applicant respectfully

submits that all claims of record are now allowable, for the reasons discussed in

detail in the Remarks which accompanied the April 7, 2004 amendment.

In light of the foregoing remarks, this application should be in condition

for allowance, and early passage of this case to issue is respectfully requested. If

there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in general,

a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should

expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit

Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #225/950993).

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 31,824

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group P.O. Box 14300

Washington, DC 20044-4300 Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

GRE:kms

328598v1